

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS . 1 P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/007,104	12/03/2001	Fukuharu Sudo	7217/47270-Z-RE/JHM/KJB	3624
7590 03/19/2004			EXAMINER	
Jay H Maioli Esq			VUONG, QUOCHIEN B	
Cooper & Dunh				
1185 Avenue of the Americas			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10036			2685	7.9
			DATE MAILED: 03/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
••	10/007,104	SUDO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Quochien B Vuong	2685				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roon. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Ab	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	29 December 2003.	•				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice ur	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction is	thdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by t	ne Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in A e priority documents have been sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No. <u>08/932,942</u> . received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/94 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	``'	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/007,104 Page 2

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination (RCE)

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/27/03 has been entered.
- 2. The original patent, or a statement as to loss or inaccessibility of the original patent, must be received before this reissue application can be allowed. See 37 CFR 1.178.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

"Application/Control Number: 10/007,104

Art Unit: 2685

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahlberg (US 5,758,295) in view of Mailey (US 5,237,311) and Jaeger (US 5,572,239).

Regarding claim 5, Ahlberg discloses a portable communication terminal apparatus comprising a body (see figure 2A); transmitting and receiving means (see the transceiver 124 in figure 2B); selecting operation means (see the keys 112 and 114); operation detection means (see column 9 line 49 to column 10 line 17); storage means 122 (see also column 10 lines 40-46, column 11 lines 56-67, figures 6A-9B); display means 110, and control means 120 (see figure 2B). Ahlberg, however, fails to disclose that the selection operation means is operable by a user in a first direction along a surface of the body and in a second direction substantially perpendicular to the first direction, and that the display layout when the selection operation means is operated in the first direction is recognizably different from the display layout when the selection operation means is operated in the second first direction. Mailey discloses a selection operation means such as a rotatable jog dial selection device 10 which is operable by a user in a first direction along a surface of a body (in this case, the first direction as claimed reads on the rotation direction as disclosed at column 1, lines 55-59), and in a second direction substantially perpendicular to the first direction (in this case, the

'Application/Control Number: 10/007,104

Art Unit: 2685

second direction as claimed reads on the downward direction as disclosed at see column 1, lines 55-59). In Mailey, the first direction is circumferential relative to the jog dial and the second direction is radial relative to the jog dial (see figure 2). Mailey further discloses that the menu is selected in response to the second direction). See also column 2, line 41 to column 3, line 50. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the above teaching of Mailey to Ahlberg, in order to obtain an integration of a trackball and a selection device, eliminate the awkward repositioning of fingers for engaging a separate pushbutton or other selection device, and also reduce the cost (as suggested by Mailey at column 2, lines 3-13). The combination of Ahlberg and Mailey does not specifically disclose changing a display layout when the selection operation means is operated in the second direction to change a display listing selection items of high hierarchy in a first format to a display listing selection items of low hierarchy that are linked with the desired selection item in a second format, the first and second formats being recognizable different. However, Jaeger discloses when the selection operation means is operated in the second direction to change a display listing selection items of high hierarchy in a first format (main menu graphic) to a display listing selection items of low hierarchy that are linked with the desired selection item in a second format (graphics of the selected pop up window), the first and second formats being recognizable different (column 13, lines 7-23). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the above teaching of Jaeger to Ahlberg and Mailey for providing the information from the selected menu to the user.

"Application/Control Number: 10/007,104

Art Unit: 2685

As to claim 6, the combination of Ahlberg, Mailey, and Jaeger discloses the claimed limitations (see column 1 lines 26-32 and lines 55-59 in Mailey).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-4 are allowed.

As to claims 1-4, the prior art fail to disclose or render obvious the claimed invention for the reasons as set forth in the parent application 08/932,942.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5 and 6 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Page 5

"Application/Control Number: 10/007,104

Art Unit: 2685

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Quochien B. Vuong whose telephone number is (703) 306-4530. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. EST.

If attemps to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached on (703) 305-4385.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

QUOCHIEN B. VUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER

Sunthen ba Unong

Quochien B. Vuong

Mar. 16, 2004.